ECE 641 Advanced Topics in Supervisory Control for Discrete Event Systems

Lecture 9

Associate Prof. Dr. Klaus Schmidt

Department of Mechatronics Engineering - Çankaya University

PhD Course in Electronic and Communication Engineering Credits (3/0/3) Course webpage: http://ece641.cankaya.edu.tr/

Klaus Schmidt Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Strongly Connected Components

State Attraction

Strongly Connected Components: Subautomaton

Definition (Subautomaton)

Let $G = (X, \Sigma, \delta, x_0, X_m)$ and $G' = (X', \Sigma, \delta', x'_0, X'_m)$ be finite state automata. G' is a *subautomaton* of G, denoted as $G' \sqsubseteq G$ if either G' is the empty automaton $(X' = \emptyset)$, or $X' \subseteq X$, and for all $x \in X'$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$, it holds that $\delta'(x, \sigma)! \Rightarrow \delta'(x, \sigma) = \delta(x, \sigma)$. G' is a *strict subautomaton* of G if additionally $\delta(x, \sigma) \in X' \Rightarrow \delta'(x, \sigma) = \delta(x, \sigma)$.

Remarks

- State set of G' is a subset of state set of G
- Any transition of G' is also a transition of G
- The subautomaton is strict if any state of G' has all possible transitions at the corresponding state in G

Strongly Connected Components: Subautomaton

Example

			Gap 1
2 · · · ·			
s Schmidt	unication Engineering - Cankava	University	

Strongly Connected Components

State Attraction

Strongly Connected Components: Definition

Definition (SCC)

Let $G = (X, \Sigma, \delta, x_0, X_m)$ be an automaton. A subautomaton G' of G with the states $X' \subseteq X$ is called a strongly connected component (SCC) of G if for all state pairs $x, x' \in X'$, there is $u, u' \in \Sigma^*$ s.t. $\delta(x, u) = x'$ and $\delta(x', u') = x$ and for all $X'' \supset X'$, X'' is not an SCC of G.

Remarks

- In an SCC, it is possible to reach each state of the SCC from any other state of the SCC
- If one state is added to the SCC this property is no longer valid
- If an SCC consists of only one state, it is called a trivial SCC

Algorithm

Tarjan, R. E. (1972), "Depth-first search and linear graph algorithms", SIAM Journal on Computing 1 (2): 146–160 (Complexity: $O(|X| + |\delta|)$) _{Klaus Schmidt}

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Strongly Connected Components: Definition

Example

Gap 2

Klaus Schmidt Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Strongly Connected Components

State Attraction

Gap 3

State Attraction: State-feedback Supervisor

Definition (State-feedback Supervisor)

Assume that S is a supervisor for plant G and the uncontrollable events Σ_u . S is denoted as a *state-feedback supervisor* for G and Σ_u if it can be realized as a subautomaton of G, that is, $S \sqsubseteq G$.

Example

State Attraction: Invariant Set

Definition (Invariant Set)

Consider an automaton $G = (X, \Sigma, \delta, x_0, X_m)$ and an uncontrollable event set Σ_u . We denote a subset $X' \subseteq X$ as an *invariant set* in G if no transition from a state in X' leaves this set, that is,

 $\forall x \in X' \text{ and } \sigma \in \Sigma \text{ it holds that } \delta(x, \sigma)! \Rightarrow \delta(x, \sigma) \in X'$

Example

Gap 4

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Strongly Connected Components

State Attraction

State Attraction: Strong Attractor

Definition (Strong Attractor)

Let $A \subseteq X' \subseteq X$ and assume that A, X' are invariant sets in G. Then, A is denoted as a *strong attractor* for X' in G if

- the strict subautomaton of G with the state set $X' \setminus A$ is acyclic
- $\forall x \in X'$, there is $u \in \Sigma^{\star}$ such that $\delta(x, u) \in A$

Convergence time: longest path from any state $x \in X'$ to the set A.

Remarks

• There is no non-trivial SCC in $X' \setminus A$

 \Rightarrow It is not possible to generate an arbitrarily long string outside A \Rightarrow A is reached from any state in X' after a finite number of event occurrences

• Verification complexity: $O(|X| + |\delta|)$

Klaus Schmidt Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

State Attraction: Strong Attractor

Example

Gap 5

Klaus Schmidt Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Strongly Connected Components

State Attraction

Gap 6

State Attraction: Weak Attractor

Definition (Weak Attractor)

Let $A \subseteq X' \subseteq X$ and assume that A, X' are invariant sets in G. Let $\Sigma_u \subseteq \Sigma$ be a set of uncontrollable events. A is denoted as a *weak* attractor for X' in G if there exists a state-feedback supervisor $S \sqsubseteq G$, such that A is a strong attractor for X' in S.

Example

State Attraction: Weak Attractor

Uniqueness

• A state-feedback supervisor for weak attraction is not unique

Example

Gap 7

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering - Çankaya University

Strongly Connected Components

State Attraction

State Attraction: Supremal Subset

Lemma (Supremal Subset)

There is a set $\Omega_G(A) \subseteq X$, that denotes the supremal subset of X such that A is a weak attractor for $\Omega_G(A)$ in G. $\Omega_G(A)$ can be computed with complexity $\mathcal{O}(|X| \cdot |\Sigma|)$.

Literature

Introduction of state attraction

Brave Y. and Heymann M. (1990), Stabilization of discrete-event processes, International Journal of Control, 51:1101–1117.

C. M. Özveren, A. S. Willsky, and P. J. Antsaklis. (1991), Stability and stabilizability of discrete event dynamic systems. Journal of the Association of Computing Machinery 38(2), 729–751

Algorithm

Kumar R., Garg V. and Marcus S. I. (1993), Language stability and stabilizability of discrete event dynamical systems, SIAM Journal of Control and Optimization, 31:132–154.

State Attraction: Supremal Subset Algorithm

Input

- Automaton $G = (X, \Sigma, \delta, x_0, X_m)$, invariant set A
- Uncontrollable events Σ_u
- Attractive set $\Omega = A$, waiting set $W = X \setminus A$

Procedure

- Pick state $w \in W$ such that for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ with $\delta(w, \sigma)!$
 - either $\delta(w,\sigma) \in \Omega$
 - \circ or $\sigma \not\in \Sigma_{\mathsf{u}}$
- Use $\Omega = \Omega \cup \{w\}$ and $W = W \setminus \{w\}$
- **terminate** if $W = \emptyset$ or there is no more state in W that fulfills 1. \Rightarrow The result is $\Omega_G(A) = \Omega$.
- Otherwise go back to step 1.

Klaus Schmidt Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Strongly Connected Components

State Attraction: Weak Attractor

Example

Gap 8

State Attraction

State Attraction: Weak Attractor

Example

Gap 9

Klaus Schmidt Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Strongly Connected Components

State Attraction

State Attraction: Extensions

Minimally Restrictive Optimal Supervisor for State Attraction

- S is optimal in the sense that there is no supervisor S' with a faster convergence time.
- S is minimally restrictive in the sense that, for any other supervisor S' with the same convergence time as S, it holds that S' ⊑ S.
 Brave Y. and Heymann M. (1993), On optimal attraction of discrete-event processes, Information Sciences 67:245-276.

Language Convergence

Converge to a specification K after a bounded convergence time.
 Kumar R., Garg V. and Marcus S. I. (1993), Language stability and stabilizability of discrete event dynamical systems, SIAM Journal of Control and Optimization, 31:132–154.

Willner Y. and Heymann M. (1995), "Language convergence in controlled discrete-event systems, Äutomatic Control, IEEE Transactions on, 40(4):616–627.