

ECE 641

Advanced Topics in Supervisory Control for Discrete Event Systems

Lecture 7

Associate Prof. Dr. Klaus Schmidt

Department of Mechatronics Engineering – Çankaya University

PhD Course in Electronic and Communication Engineering
Credits (3/0/3)

Course webpage: <http://ece641.cankaya.edu.tr/>

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Reminder: Observability

Definition (Observability)

K is denoted as *observable* for G , Σ_c and Σ_o if for all $s, s' \in \bar{K}$ with $p(s) = p(s')$ and for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_c$

$$s\sigma \in L(G) \text{ and } s'\sigma \in \bar{K} \Rightarrow s\sigma \in \bar{K}.$$

Theorem (Observability Theorem)

There exists a nonblocking supervisor under partial observation S such that $L_m(G||S) = K$ and $L(G||S) = \bar{K}$ if and only if

- K is controllable for G and Σ_u
- K is observable for G , Σ_c and Σ_o
- K is $L_m(G)$ -closed

Theorem (Observability Verification)

K is observable for G , Σ_c and Σ_o if and only if $L_m(V) = \emptyset$.

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Properties: Union of Observable Languages

Example

Gap 1

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Properties: Results

Lemma

Let G be a plant, Σ_c a set of controllable events, Σ_o a set of observable events. Assume that $K_1, K_2 \subseteq L_m(G)$ are observable for G, Σ_c, Σ_o . Then, $K_1 \cup K_2$ is not necessarily observable for G, Σ_c, Σ_o .

Remarks

- The lemma implies that there is no supremal observable sublanguage!

Lemma

Let G be a plant, Σ_c a set of controllable events, Σ_o a set of observable events. Assume that $K_1, K_2 \subseteq L_m(G)$ are observable for G, Σ_c, Σ_o . Then, $K_1 \cap K_2$ is observable for G, Σ_c, Σ_o .

Remarks

- There exists an “infimal observable superlanguage”

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Properties: Results

Proof

Gap 2

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Supervisory Control: Basic Problem

Problem (Observability Theorem)

Let G be a plant automaton, $K \subseteq L_m(G)$ a specification language, Σ_c a controllable event set, Σ_o an observable event set and $p : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma_o^*$ the associated natural projection. Assume that K is $L_m(G)$ -closed. Find a nonblocking supervisor under partial observation S such that

- $L_m(S||G) \subseteq K$
- $L_m(S||G)$ is as large as possible

Remarks

- We already know that there might not be a supremal solution
 - ⇒ We can look for maximal solutions such that for all other supervisors $S' : L_m(S'||G) \not\supseteq L_m(S||G)$
 - ⇒ We can look for conditions such that a supremal solution exists

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Supervisory Control: Example

Database Concurrency Control

Gap 3

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Supervisory Control: Example

Database Concurrency Control

Gap 4

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Supervisory Control: Example

Database Concurrency Control

Gap 5

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Normality: Definition

Definition

Let G be an automaton with the alphabet Σ , $K \subseteq L_m(G)$ be a specification, Σ_o a set of observable events and $p : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma_o^*$ be a natural projection. K is denoted as normal for G and p if

$$\bar{K} = p^{-1}p(\bar{K}) \cap L(G)$$

Remarks

- $p(\bar{K})$ is the “observation” of \bar{K}
- Normality means that \bar{K} can be recovered from its observation and G
- Normality is a condition for closed languages

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Normality: Example

Database Concurrency Control

Gap 6

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Normality: Properties

Lemma

Assume that $K \subseteq L_m(G)$ is normal for G and p . Then, K is observable for G , any $\Sigma_c \subseteq \Sigma$ and Σ_o

Proof

Gap 7

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Normality: Properties

Lemma

Normality is stronger than observability.

Proof

Gap 8

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Normality: Properties

Lemma

Assume that $K_1, K_2 \subseteq L_m(G)$ are normal for G and p . Then, $K_1 \cup K_2$ is normal for G and p .

Proof

Gap 9

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Normality: Properties

Theorem

Assume that $\Sigma_c \subseteq \Sigma_o$. Then, observability and normality are equivalent.

Proof

Gap 10

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Supremal Normal Sublanguage: Discussion

Observation

- There exists a supremal normal sublanguage $SupN(K, G, p)$
- There exists a supremal controllable sublanguage
- If $\Sigma_c \subseteq \Sigma_o$, there is a supremal observable sublanguage

⇒ Compute supremal controllable and normal sublanguage in order to solve the supervisory control problem under partial observation

Computation of $SupN(K, G, p)$

- Lin-Brandt formula for prefix-closed languages:

$$SupN(K, G, p) = K \setminus (p^{-1}p(L(G) \setminus K)\Sigma^*)$$

- $p^{-1}p(L(G) \setminus K)$: strings with same observation as forbidden strings

⇒ Remove all potentially forbidden strings and their successors from K

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Supremal Normal Sublanguage: Solution

Computation of $SupN(K, G, p)$ (General Case)

- $K_1 := K$
- Iteration: $K_{i+1} = SupN(\overline{K}_i, G, p) \cap K$ until $K_{i+1} = K_i$
⇒ Result is $SupN(K, G, p)$

Computation of $SupCN(K, G, p, \Sigma_u)$

- Iterate until $K_{i+1} = K_i$ starting from $K_1 = K$
 - Compute $K_{i+1} = SupCon(K_i, G, \Sigma_u)$, $i = i + 1$
 - Compute $K_{i+1} = SupN(K_i, G, p)$, $i = i + 1$
- Complexity is exponential in the worst-case because of projection!

Example

Gap 11

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University

Supremal Normal Sublanguage: Example

Database Concurrency Control

Gap 12

Klaus Schmidt

Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering – Çankaya University